PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Jerzy Ciepiela
DOCKET NO.: 05-22002.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-25-423-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Jerzy Ciepiela, the appellant, by attorney Michael Elliott of Elliott & Associates of Des Plaines and the Cook County Board of Review (board).

The subject property consists of a 31-year-old, three-story, class 2-12 building of masonry construction containing 5,250 square feet of building area and located in Leyden Township, Cook County. The property includes three apartments and one commercial unit, two and one half bathrooms, air conditioning and a partial basement.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered four suggested comparable properties located within three quarters of a mile of the subject. These properties consist of class 2-12, two-story buildings of masonry construction and range in age from 37 to 49 years. The comparables include three or five apartments and one or three commercial units. The buildings have two or three bathrooms with half baths and partial basements. The comparables contain between 3,922 and 6,675 square feet of building area and have building assessments ranging from \$26,764 to \$40,587 or from \$6.08 to \$7.20 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$46,080, or \$8.78 per square foot of building area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered four suggested comparable properties located within a quarter mile of the subject. The comparables consist of

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{no\ change}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the \underline{COOK} County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 3,749 IMPR. \$46,080 TOTAL: \$49,829

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG. 7/08

class 2-12 buildings with two, three or four apartments and one or two commercial units. The comparables range in age from 31 to 77 years and have partial basements, all with air conditioning. They have two or four bathrooms with half baths and two have one-car garages. The comparable properties range in size from 2,700 to 6,711 square feet of building area with improvement assessments ranging from \$32,845 to \$65,429 or from \$9.75 to \$12.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds both parties submitted a total of comparables of which only the appellant's comparable four is similar to the subject. The remaining comparables are somewhat similar to the subject but differ considerably in building area or age or number of units. The eight properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$6.08 to \$12.85 per square foot of The subject's per square foot improvement living area. assessment of \$8.78 is within this range of properties. The PTAB gives less weight to the seven remaining comparables because they are less similar to the subject and thus have less influence. After considering the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds the appellant did not adequately demonstrate that the subject apartment building was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted. Member

Member

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

The Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 14, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\frac{\text{PETITION AND EVIDENCE}}{\text{30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.$

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.